

FILED

1 Louis A. Coffelt, Jr.
2 email: Louis.Coffelt@gmail.com
3 231 E. Alessandro Blvd., Ste 6A-504
4 Riverside, CA 92508
5 Phone: (951) 790-6086
6 Pro Se

2018 JAN 31 PM 3:49

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

BY: WR

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

9 for the Central District of California

11 Louis A. Coffelt, Jr., }
12 Plaintiff, }
13 --v-- }
14 Autodesk, Inc., }
15 Defendant. }

Case No.: **5:17-cv-01684-FMO-SHK**

**OPPOSITION TO
MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY**

18 Date: February 22, 2018

19 Time: 10:00 a.m.

20 Courtroom: 6D, 6th Floor

21 Hon. Fernando M. Olguin

24 Plaintiff, Louis A. Coffelt, Jr. (*Coffelt*), *pro se*, file this response in opposition to
25 Defendant's Motion to Stay Discovery (Dkt. No. 40) (Motion) filed January 24, 2018.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. ARGUMENT

Autodesk's entire Motion is based on speculation, vague, and overly broad statements.

Autodesk's entire argument is essentially speculation:

- 1.) Autodesk speculates that there is no prejudice to Plaintiff.
- 2.) objecting and responding to Mr. Coffelt's pro se requests has been difficult.
- 3.) a stay of discovery would conserve judicial resources.
- 4.) Mr. Coffelt would continue to serve discovery request upon discovery request.
- 5.) Mr. Coffelt's Second Amended Complaint still does not raise plausible factual allegations entitling him to relief.

Any stay on Discovery will prejudice Coffelt. There is a need to seek discovery and depositions from California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation agents, and specific Autodesk agents. Defendant has not answered any Requests which correspond to those agents. There may be a need to seek discovery from Sony Image Works.

Autodesk's Responses related to these Discovery issues have been only vague boilerplate objections. Therefore, Coffelt may be required to seek additional Discovery Motions to Compel.

For these reasons, Plaintiff believes this Court should deny Defendant's Motion to Stay Discovery (Dkt. No. 40).

Date: January 31, 2018

By: *J. S. Smith*

Louis A. Coffelt, Jr.

Plaintiff

Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Louis A. Coffelt, Jr. (Coffelt), hereby certify that on the 31st day of January, 2018, Coffelt filed the the foregoing document **OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY** with the Clerk of the Court, in case No. 5:17-cv-01684-FMO-SHK, as follows:

Office of the Clerk
United States District Court for the Central District of California
312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

And further caused to be served one copy of the foregoing document **OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY** by U.S. mail, postage prepaid, in case 5:17-cv-01684-FMO-SHK to the following attorneys of record:

Richard S.J. Hung
Morrison & Foerster LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 268-7602 (direct)
(415) 268-7522 (fax)
rhung@mofo.com

Date: January 31, 2018

By:


Louis A. Coffelt, Jr.

Plaintiff

Pro Se

3